

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name

NUEVA VIDA BEHAVIORAL HEALTH

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-14-2449-01

MFDR Date Received

APRIL 10, 2014

Respondent Name

STATE OFFICE OF RISK MANAGEMENT

Carrier's Austin Representative

Box Number 45

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "On 05/06/13, Licensed Professional Counselor, Andrea Zuflacht, M.S., L.P.C. (Nueva Vida Behavioral Clinical Director) Katie Palmer, MA LPC Intern and Annie Armstrong MS met with [Claimant's] treating physician Ann Pham DC to coordinate his plan of care."

Amount in Dispute: \$28.00

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "The Office performed an in-depth review of the disputed charges and claim file and determined Dr. Anh Pham who is the documented treating doctor for [Claimant] does not document in their medical records (Exhibit A) either prior to or after this date of service that they had attended this meeting nor the reason and change in the employees condition that warranted the request of case management services. Furthermore the medical documents submitted do not show by way of signature that Dr. Pham attended this case management meeting."

Response Submitted by: State Office of Risk Management

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
May 6, 2013	CPT Code 99361 Case Management Services	\$28.00	\$0.00

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.204, effective March 1, 2008, sets out medical fee guidelines for workers' compensation specific services.
- 3. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:
 - W2-Payment reduced or denied based on workers' compensation jurisdictional regulations or payment policies, use only if no other code is applicable.
 - 193-Original payment decision is being maintained. Upon review it was determined that this claim was

processed properly.

 Per Rule 134.204(e)(1)(B) Team Conference and telephone calls must be outside an interdisciplinary program and can not have team members that are employed at the same facility.

Issues

Did the requestor support billing the medical conference in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.204? Is the requestor entitled to reimbursement?

Findings

The respondent denied reimbursement for the case management services, CPT code 99361, based upon reason code "W2."

28 Texas Administrative Code §134.204(e)(2) states: "Case Management Responsibilities by the Treating Doctor is as follows: Team conferences and telephone calls should be triggered by a documented change in the condition of the injured employee and performed for the purpose of coordination of medical treatment and/or return to work for the injured employee."

28 Texas Administrative Code §134.204(e)(4) states "Case management services require the treating doctor to submit documentation that identifies any HCP that contributes to the case management activity. Case management services shall be billed and reimbursed as follows: (A) CPT Code 99361.

- (i) Reimbursement to the treating doctor shall be \$113. Modifier "W1" shall be added.
- (ii) Reimbursement to the referral HCP shall be \$28 when a HCP contributes to the case management activity."

Review of the submitted <u>CASE MANAGEMENT NOTE</u> report finds that the requestor listed the participants in the conference. The report does not indicate that the treating doctor was involved in the conference. Furthermore, there is no indication that the case management was triggered by a documented change in the condition of the injured worker and that the purpose was for coordination of medical treatment and/or return to work for the injured employee required by 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.204(e)(2). As a result, reimbursement is not recommended.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has not established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 reimbursement for the disputed services.

Authorized Signature

		01/09/2015	
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date	

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 3833*, **applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012**.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the Division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the Division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee* **Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.